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Introduction
The timing of onset of the South Asian summer monsoon (hereafter referred to as monsoon) is notoriously difficult to forecast (e.g. Rao et al., 2019), with a standard deviation in the monsoon onset of around 8 days (Pai and Nair, 2009). This interannual variability in monsoon arrival and progression has significant ramifications for communities across India, who rely on monsoon rains to alleviate the pre-monsoon heat, as well as agricultural production (Gadgil and Gadgil, 2006).

Climatologically, the monsoon arrives in southern India (Kerala) on 1 June, before moving in a non-steady motion toward the northwest, reaching the far northwest border of India by 15 July. Parker et al. (2016) noted the climatologically gradual withdrawal of dry air at around 400–700hPa, originating in the desert northwest, associated with cumulus congestus clouds moistening the mid-levels during monsoon advance, thus resulting in the formation of more convective clouds. In this way, the development of convection in successive regions during monsoon advance and the associated withdrawal of dry mid-level air to the northwest drives the monsoon forwards, perpendicular to the low-level winds. Volonté et al. (2020) found this competition between retreating dry subtropical air and advancing moist tropical air as detailed by Parker et al. (2016) to be a non-linear process, modulated through intraseasonal variability (active and break periods; Rajeevan et al., 2010) and the occurrence of synoptic-scale features such as a cyclonic circulation over the Arabian Sea. This results in periods of hiatus and forward jumps in the progression of the monsoon, and this non-steadiness has further consequences for predictability. Furthermore, monsoon onset at Kerala at the southern tip of India has substantial interannual variability: since 1970 the date of onset as stated by the India Meteorological Department (IMD) has fluctuated from as early as 19 May in 1990 to as late as 18 June in 1972. This interannual variability combined with the aforementioned non-steadiness of monsoon advance makes onset an inherently unpredictable phenomenon across India.

Whilst cloud development and the physical processes involved are crucial components of the monsoon, their representation in weather and climate models remains a challenge, with a dry bias over the Indian subcontinent in many climate models (Willetts et al., 2017). Parametrisations of convection are a dominant source of error in global models (Sherwood et al., 2014) and suffer from historical undersampling of monsoon clouds in observations to help constrain these models. Here, using IMD Doppler weather radar observations at 12 sites across the country, we assess the large-scale temporal patterns and local cloud fields associated with monsoon onset in 2016, compared to the increase in local rainfall.

Specifically, in this article we will focus on:
1. The monitoring of convective clouds using radars and the definition of monsoon onset.
2. What did monsoon advance look like in 2016 and what large-scale dynamical changes were associated with monsoon onset and progression?
3. What is the observed temporal pattern of radar-derived convective cell coverage in the days and weeks leading up to and following monsoon onset and how does this compare with precipitation? Furthermore, how does this differ by region?

Radar data and monsoon onset definition
Indian Doppler radar data
This study aims to build a spatial representation of how the monsoon onset presents itself in terms of convective development. In order to analyse any regional differences, we consider 12 Doppler radars (Table 1), part of the IMD network. Sixteen radars with 2016 monsoon season data were made available to INCOMPASS (Turner et al., 2020), but two of these radars did not have data for June, thus not fully capturing the monsoon onset. A further two radars were missing substantial amounts of data from the days around monsoon onset in the region, and so were not analysed for this study. The 12 remaining radars are all S-band with the exception of New Delhi (C-band), and have a temporal sampling of 10 min between radar volume scans. All radars have similar beamwidths (0.93°–1.00°) and elevation angles (see Table 1), with similar or identical scanning strategies. There is some disparity in the gate size between different radars, varying between 250m and 1000m for Patna and Karaikal respectively. All radars were calibrated prior to analysis using clutter reflectivity and Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) radar overpasses following the methodology of Warren et al. (2018) and Louf et al. (2019).

In order to study the height of clouds during monsoon onset we reconstruct a 3-D Cartesian grid of reflectivity data for each radar between 2 and 16km above the surface. The method is described concisely here for reasons of space, but the procedure is similar to that of Kumar et al. (2013), where it is described in more detail. Two kilometres is above any ground contamination and safely below the freezing level (brightband) region. The 16km maximum height is chosen to maximise the range of echo-top height retrievals: the upper edge of the beam associated with the maximum elevation angle of 21° reaches 16km at 40km range. Any clouds penetrating above this level are set to a maximum of 16.5km but may represent clouds deeper than this. A horizontal resolution of 1km x 1km matches the broadest gate size of any of the radars within 100km and vertical grid spacing is 0.5km. The vertical profile of reflectivity is calculated for each horizontal grid point by interpolating between the separate elevation scans. Once the 3-D grid of reflectivity is obtained, the Steiner et al. (1995) convective/stratiform classification is implemented using the reconstructed reflectivity at 2km height to identify convective pixels. A convective region is then any set of connected convective pixels using...
4-connectivity. A convective pixel at 2km is assumed convective throughout the vertical profile, similarly to Kumar et al. (2013).

Each Cartesian pixel for each radar volume scan is given an echo-top height (ETH) based on the maximum height of the 10dBZ level. This threshold is chosen to be as low as possible but above the minimum sensitivity of all radars at 100km (see Table 1). Finally, the cell-top height (CTH) is determined as the maximum ETH in a convective region, where any cell greater than 4km² in size is considered for analysis. Here, we define cells with CTH≥8km above ground level as deep cells, representing the most potent convection. Deep cells are associated with high 2km reflectivities (typically in excess of 30dBZ, not shown). We also prescribe those cells with CTH≥5km and CTH<8km as cumulus congestus cells, with 2km reflectivities less than 30dBZ (light rain), and CTH in the approximate region of the tropical monsoon freezing level. Whilst we only consider a single season due to radar data availability, 2016 is considered a representative year for analysis, with 97% of average all-India rainfall and an onset date at Kerala on 8 June (7 days later than the climatological average, within one standard deviation; Pai and Nair, 2009).

Monsoon onset

The definition of monsoon onset at a particular location is not trivial. Difficulty stems from the need to avoid false monsoon onsets. For example, in 2016, southeastern India saw record-breaking amounts of rainfall on 18 May due to Cyclonic Storm Roanu, but this was not associated with the monsoon onset, especially for northern India. Therefore, to define onset at each radar site, we compare the radar location against the IMD progression isochrones¹. The requirement for the IMD isochrones is for two consecutive days with rainfall in excess of 2.5mm for at least 60% of surrounding stations, given the depth of westerlies reach 600hPa and the satellite-derived outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) is less than 200Wm⁻² in a surrounding grid-box. The IMD takes these criteria into consideration in a subjective manner to determine the onset date (Pai and Nair, 2009). The first date at which the exact radar location is level with or behind the progression line is taken as the monsoon onset date for that radar site. The date of monsoon onset for each site is shown in Table 1.

Large-scale drivers of cloud development at onset

Firstly, we consider the progression of the monsoon and its rainfall in 2016, and discuss the circulation changes associated with its advance. Figure 1 shows average daily rainfall totals from the GPM Integrated Multi-satellite Retrievals (IMERG) product (Huffman et al., 2015), alongside 850hPa wind vectors from ERA5 (ECMWF re-analysis; Hersbach et al., 2020), averaged over successive pentads (grouped 5-day periods) from mid-May to mid-July 2016. The spatial resolutions of GPM IMERG and ERA5 are 0.1° and 0.25° respectively.

In May the monsoon regime is yet to be established over India. Low-level winds are from the northwest over the land, resulting in dry air advection, and are weak in magnitude. Westerlies south of India gradually spread northwards, encompassing most of India by the end of May (Figure 1(c)). Over land (except the far south of India), these westerly winds weaken again in early June (Figure 1(d)) but continue to strengthen over the Arabian Sea (west of India). As a result, rainfall begins to build offshore from the western coast of India, as well as off the southeastern coast. This brings monsoon onset to far southeastern India in the first

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Longitude (“E”)</th>
<th>Gate size (m)</th>
<th>100km noise value (dB)</th>
<th>2016 onset date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karaikal</td>
<td>79.84</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chennai</td>
<td>80.29</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machilipatnam</td>
<td>81.15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishakhatnam</td>
<td>83.35</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolkata</td>
<td>88.35</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patna</td>
<td>85.09</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agartala</td>
<td>91.25</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>14 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumbai</td>
<td>72.81</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagpur</td>
<td>79.06</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhopal</td>
<td>77.42</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Delhi</td>
<td>77.22</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>2 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patiala</td>
<td>76.45</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 July</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The elevation angles for all radars except Nagpur are 0.2°, 1.0°, 2.0°, 3.0°, 4.5°, 6.0°, 9.0°, 12.0°, 16.0° and 21.0°. Nagpur’s first elevation angle is 0.5°.
Over the course of June. However, following this, a break period develops. We define a break period using rainfall over a central India zone (18°–27°N, 73°–82°E) and comparing to the climatological average for each day (Rajeevan et al., 2010 provides more details). Break periods are associated with decreased rainfall in the central India zone (and vice versa for active periods). These events can be instrumental in delaying the progression of the monsoon northwards (Rajeevan et al., 2010). The gradual movement of rainfall over western India from offshore to over land (e.g. Figures 1(e) and (f)) is associated with a clear increase in the strength of the westerly onshore winds (Fletcher et al., 2020). Over the Bay of Bengal (east of India), a northward-pushing wave packet associated with the Boreal Summer Intraseasonal Oscillation (BSISO) phases2 and 3 (Kikuchi et al., 2012) brings rainfall to much of northeastern India by mid-June (Figure 1(g)), resulting in the formation of a monsoon depression, and monsoon onset in this region. In Figures 1(g) and (h), the monsoon depression visibly tracks westwards in the 850hPa wind field, resulting in monsoon onset across the remainder of western and central India. Finally, in early July, New Delhi and Patiala in northern India experience monsoon onset as the westerly winds push sufficiently northwards. Note that New Delhi and Patiala saw significant rainfall earlier in June associated with the aforementioned monsoon depression, but this was followed by drier weather as low-level winds were still weak with a northwesterly component, and thus onset was not defined in these regions. By mid-July (Figure 1(l)), the monsoon regime is almost at its peak, with substantial and persistent precipitation over most of the country.

One major feature of the monsoon regime over South Asia is the establishment of the tropical easterly jet (TEJ). The TEJ is a thermal wind in the upper atmosphere which forms in Boreal spring due to the developing north-to-south temperature gradient between the Tibetan Plateau and the equator. It continues to increase in strength until the end of June, and is responsible for the usual westward passage of monsoon depressions (e.g. Figures 1(g) and (h)), that can be instrumental in advancing the northern limit of the monsoon through synoptic forcing (Parker et al., 2016). Studying mid-level relative humidity and moisture flux (see Figure 2), in general we note the gradual retreat of dry mid-level air over northwestern India throughout May and into June, as detailed by Parker et al. (2016). In late May (Figure 2(c)), drier air advects back into southern India which delays monsoon onset in Kerala. Into June, moisture then continues to build over most of India until the final pentad (near peak monsoon) associated with increasingly persistent convection. However, the northwestern wedge of dry air is a spatially transient feature even well into the monsoon season, sometimes retreating back into neighbouring Pakistan, and sometimes pushing back southeastward (e.g. Figures 2(h) and (k)). Intriguingly this seems to have little bearing on the monsoon advance into northwestern India in 2016. New Delhi and Patiala experience monsoon onset in Figure 2(j), associated with drier air once again encroaching from the northwest (see Figure 2(j)), but westerly winds do push north into the region at this time. This illustrates the complexities involved with predicting monsoon onset, due to the competing effects of advancing moist tropical air and dry subtropical air not always displaying a clear anti-correlation in a region.

Moisture flux vectors in Figure 2 are shown to elucidate the point that eastward moisture flux and convergence of moisture occur both sides of the northern limit of the monsoon (white dashed line), especially over the ocean. This was also noted by Parker et al. (2016), and therefore shows that convergence of tropical moisture-laden air alone cannot explain monsoon advance. As a result, we can expect significant convection and associated rainfall for many regions in advance of monsoon onset at a given location. This raises the importance of the additional dynamical requirement of westerly winds in the lower troposphere when defining onset.

Temporal patterns in local cloud and precipitation during monsoon advance

We now turn our attention to the convection surrounding each radar site in Table 1, comparing with local rainfall measurements from IMD 1°×1° daily gridded rainfall data (Rajeevan et al., 2010), and study how these observations evolve during monsoon onset at each site. Figure 3 shows 5-day running means of local precipitation and convective area for deep (CTH ≥ 8km) and cumulus congestus type cells (5 ≤ CTH < 8km). The vertical black dashed line represents the date of monsoon onset. We calculate Pearson correlation coefficients between precipitation and congestus/deep convective area, and these are labelled in Figure 3 for each site. There is a positive correlation between local rainfall and deep convective area for all sites (0.26 for New Delhi and 0.94 for Mumbai). Deep convective cells are usually responsible for

---

2Daily BSISO phase data are available at http://iprc.soest.hawaii.edu/users/kazuyoshi/ISO_index/data/BSISO_25-90bpfilrt_pc.txt
most precipitation due to their larger average surface area and greater intensity. Two sites (Kolkata and New Delhi) show weaker correlations less than 0.5. Interestingly, both these sites show relatively higher correlations for congestus cell area. However, examining Figures 3(e) and (k) closely, the poor correlations stem from periods with a large amount of deep convection that is not reflected in the rainfall time series (e.g. Kolkata 25 June, New Delhi 8–15 July). This could be because not all deep convection at these sites is resulting in high precipitation at the surface. The area covered by congestus-type cells is significantly less compared to deep cells, resulting in a weaker correlation for most sites, but still is positively correlated with precipitation for all regions (as high as 0.92 in Mumbai). The strength of this correlation for Mumbai is likely associated with the predominance of shallow pre-monsoon convection moistening the mid-level troposphere, allowing deeper clouds to form as the monsoon arrives in a region.

We recall that onset is defined based on sufficiently low OLR (i.e. cold cloud tops associated with deep convection) and at least two consecutive days of 2.5mm observed precipitation. Therefore, as one might expect, monsoon onset is associated with a defined peak in precipitation and cell coverage on or a few days prior to the IMD-defined monsoon onset date in Figure 3. However, it is immediately obvious that for most sites, there is a significant amount of convection prior to the monsoon, associated with pre-monsoon rainfall, making purely observations-based definitions of onset difficult. Some sites, such as Mumbai, Nagpur and Bhopal in central west and northern India, show a more pronounced increase in rainfall from pre- to post-monsoon. Other locations show a more complex picture with significant amounts of pre-monsoon convection and rainfall. However, if a composite of several seasons of data could be considered, the temporal patterns in Figure 3 for these sites may become more meaningful. Nonetheless, the exact date of monsoon onset should not be taken too literally, owing to the high-frequency variability in rainfall associated with monsoon initiation. Furthermore, due to the definition of monsoon onset date being a hybrid of large-scale dynamical changes and measured rainfall, neither of these properties in isolation can be fully linked to the onset date. For example, some sites (e.g. Karaikal, Vishakhapatnam, Bhopal, New Delhi) show the maximum in deep cell coverage associated with onset at that location occurring a day or two in advance of the maximum in rainfall associated with onset. Intraseasonal variability associated with the BSISO is likely responsible for the high frequency variability in rainfall for most sites even after monsoon onset. Southeastern India, due to being in a rain shadow region, is prone to periods of near-zero convection and rainfall even after monsoon onset. In contrast, northern India experiences periods of plentiful convection pre-monsoon owing to active periods and monsoon depressions in June.

One interesting abnormality is the presence of significant early June (pre-onset) convection in Bhopal and Nagpur (Figures 3(i) and (j)) that is not associated with any substantial precipitation (Mumbai shows a similar signal though it is not quite as evident). However, pre-monsoon convection in other regions does result in rainfall. Further analysis with satellite data might be able to inform of the nature of this seemingly non-precipitating convection.

**Conclusions**

The progression of the South Asian summer monsoon is a complex and non-steady phenomenon (Volonté et al., 2020). As a result, it remains a relatively unpredictable area of meteorology, yet forecasts of monsoon onset in a particular region have importance for more than a billion people. Here, we have analysed the monsoon progression in 2016 from a large-scale perspective, and using a network of operational Doppler radars, analysed convective cell area in the weeks before and after monsoon onset at each respective location, comparing against local precipitation. The main conclusions from this study are as follows:

- Monsoon onset date is difficult to define objectively for specific locations. It is associated with an increase in westerly winds bringing moist tropical air, and the gradual retreat of dry mid-level air, as well as an increase in precipitation.
- The area covered by deep and congestus type cell populations correlates well with precipitation throughout monsoon onset for all sites, with only a few irregularities (e.g. Bhopal in early June). This shows that the rainfall is dominated by convective activity across all regions. Onset appears to be associated with a simultaneous increase in all types of cells as opposed to congestus gradually giving way to deep cells.
The convective cell time series examined here have been shown to be linked to local precipitation as well as the large-scale environment that they are embedded within. Analysing these temporal patterns across multiple years would be valuable. It is a big effort to expand such observational studies but this would be very beneficial, especially in the context of improving monsoon prediction across scales. The convective cell time series examined here have been shown to be linked to local precipitation as well as the large-scale environment that they are embedded within. Analysing these temporal patterns across multiple years would be valuable. It is a big effort to expand such observational studies but this would be very beneficial, especially in the context of improving monsoon prediction across scales.
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**Figure 3.** 5-day running means (centred on the date shown) of local precipitation from IMD 1° x 1° gridded daily precipitation (spatially averaged for the nearest five grid boxes). Also shown is the daily sum of deep (CTH≥8km) and congestus (5≤CTH<8km) convective area for each day (normalised by the number of radar volume scans) at each site for when data are available. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients of precipitation against deep and congestus cell area over the time series are labelled for each site in the top right corner. Grey shaded regions are days with 0 radar volume scans for that site and are not included in the correlation. The vertical black dashed line represents the day of onset for that site, as given in Table 1.

- Mumbai, Bhopal and Nagpur show a clear increase in the amount of convection and rainfall after monsoon onset in their respective regions.
- The complexities in the clarity of onset for most other sites may be a result of their local environment (e.g. southeastern India rain shadow, northern India pre-monsoon rainfall from monsoon depressions.)

The convective cell time series examined here have been shown to be linked to local precipitation as well as the large-scale environment that they are embedded within when considering 2016 alone. However, analysing these temporal patterns across multiple years would be valuable. It is a big effort to expand such observational studies to multiple years due to the large volume of data and amount of processing time it would require, but this would be very beneficial in building up a more robust climatological picture, if data were made available.
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