

The effect of Candida famata and Lactobacillus plantarum on the number of coliforms and the antibiotic resistance and virulence of Escherichia coli in the gut of broilers

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open access

Lee, A., Aldeieg, M., Woodward, M. J., Juniper, D. T. and Rymer, C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3535-4330 (2021) The effect of Candida famata and Lactobacillus plantarum on the number of coliforms and the antibiotic resistance and virulence of Escherichia coli in the gut of broilers. Animal, 15 (8). 100310. ISSN 1751-7311 doi: 10.1016/j.animal.2021.100310 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/98902/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100310

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law,



including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Animal

The international journal of animal biosciences



The effect of *Candida famata* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* on the number of coliforms and the antibiotic resistance and virulence of *Escherichia coli* in the gut of broilers



A. Lee^{a,*}, M. Aldeieg^b, M.J. Woodward^{b,c}, D.T. Juniper^a, C. Rymer^a

^a Department of Animal Sciences, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 237, Reading RG6 6EU, United Kingdom ^b Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, School of Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6DZ, United Kingdom ^c Folium Science, St Philips Central, Albert Road, Bristol BS2 0XJ, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 February 2021 Revised 7 June 2021 Accepted 8 June 2021

Keywords: Antimicrobial resistance Broiler chickens Escherichia coli Probiotics Virulence genes

ABSTRACT

This study was undertaken to determine the effect of a yeast (Candida famata) and a bacterium (Lactobacillus plantarum), administered alone or in combination in the drinking water, on the population of yeast, Lactobacillus sp. and coliforms, and the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and virulence genes in Escherichia coli (E. coli) isolated from digesta samples taken throughout the life of broiler chickens. Male (Ross 308) day-old chicks (220) were used. C. famata (isolated from a chicken) and L. plantarum (isolated from a pig) were administered via the drinking water. Water was provided either untreated or with *C. famata* (**CF**; 10^8 /ml), *L. plantarum* (**LP**; 10^5 – 10^8 /ml), or a combination of CF and LP $(10^{6}-10^{8}/\text{ml})$ in water hoppers on 2 days each week for 35 days. Administering probiotics did not affect the growth performance in broiler chickens. No significant interactions were observed between main effects, and neither CF nor LP had any effect on the population size of Lactobacillus sp. or coliforms. The administration of *C. famata* increased the population density of yeasts in the small intestine at these ages. The population density of coliforms, Lactobacillus sp. and yeast decreased with age (P < 0.001). There was no significant effect of probiotics on the prevalence of phenotypic AMR and virulence genes in these studies. The prevalence of *E. coli* that was resistant to ampicillin and tetracycline, as well as carrying ≥ 3 virulence-associated genes, was greatest at the end of the starter phase (around 8 days old), before declining through the grower and finisher phases. There was only limited evidence that administering either CF or LP affected either the AMR or the virulence of *E. coli* in the bird. However, tetracycline resistance in *E. coli* was associated (P < 0.001, P < 0.01, P < 0.05, and P < 0.05) with the carriage of the iron uptake systems of E. coli D, iron-repressible protein, increased serum survival and temperaturesensitive haemagglutinin genes respectively, suggesting that the accumulation of iron and the genetic element conferring tetracycline resistance may be intertwined.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Animal Consortium. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Implications

Broiler chickens are particularly susceptible to infection by pathogenic and antimicrobial-resistant coliforms in their starter phase. Although this may be overcome naturally as the birds age (and does not appear to be assisted by the administration of either yeast or *Lactobacillus* probiotics), this does leave a window of vulnerability in early life. It is possible that increasing the supply of iron in the gut lumen may encourage the evolution of more benign (and not antimicrobial resistant) coliforms.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: vj810984@reading.ac.uk (A. Lee).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100310

1751-7311/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Animal Consortium. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Antibiotics have been used to improve animal performance and prevent disease over several decades. However, the EU banned the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in 2006 because of the increase in antimicrobial resistance (**AMR**) arising from the misuse and overuse of antimicrobial agents (Castanon, 2007). Antibiotic use in UK broiler production has decreased substantially, but the growing prevalence of AMR by bacteria is a threat to both human and animal health (Dolejska et al., 2012). Antimicrobial-resistant bacteria from animal products can be transferred, directly or indirectly, into the human food chain and thereby threaten the human consumer (Landers et al., 2012). Escherichia coli (**E. coli**), found in the intestinal tracts of all animals and birds, has been widely used as a sentinel species for the determination of AMR (Jang et al., 2017; Stromberg et al., 2017). A high prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* has been observed in day-old chicks in Austria (Roth et al., 2017). Many researchers have demonstrated the presence of various antibiotic-resistant genes in *E. coli* isolated from both caecal digesta and animal meats (Miles et al., 2006; Diarra et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2007; Persoons et al., 2010).

In addition to the carriage of AMR genes, some *E. coli* strains may also carry several virulence-associated genes which increase their pathogenicity and lead to disease. In poultry, the most common disease associated with *E. coli* is colibacillosis, but extraintestinal disease would include septicaemia, pericarditis, airsacculitis and perihepatitis (Delicato et al., 2003; Dziva and Stevens, 2008). The carriage of these virulence genes may increase the selection advantage of the strain; in *E. coli* known virulence genes code for the products adhesins, invasins, toxins and iron acquisition systems (siderophores) (Paixao et al., 2016).

Dietary interventions may alter the composition of the gut microbiome and the evolution of strains of *E. coli* (Olnood et al., 2015). In doing this, the proportion of AMR bacteria in the bird and poultry meats may also be altered. Probiotics such as *Lactobacillus* sp. and yeasts may help prevent the proliferation of pathogenic bacteria and potentially AMR in the gut through mechanisms such as competitive exclusion, neutralisation of toxins, bactericidal activity or enhanced immune competence (Kabir, 2009; Fijan, 2014). The aim of this experiment was therefore to determine the effect of a yeast (*Candida famata*) and a bacterium (*Lactobacillus plantarum*), administered in the drinking water, on the prevalence of phenotypic AMR status and carriage of virulence genes by *E. coli* throughout the life of broiler chickens. Preliminary results from this experiment were presented in abstract form by Lee et al. (2020).

Material and methods

Experimental design, birds and diets

A total of 220 chicks (day old, male Ross 308) were used in a 35 days feeding trial. All chicks were weighed on arrival, wing tagged, blocked by live weight, and then randomly placed in one of four brooder rings and fed a common starter diet (Table 1) until the birds were 14 days of age. No antibiotics were administered during the experimental period. *Candida famata* (isolated from a chicken) and Lactobacillus plantarum (isolated from a pig; University of Surrey) were administered via the drinking water. Stock cultures of each isolate in C. famata and L. plantarum were incubated overnight in Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose (YEPD) broth or De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth. Population density was then estimated by serial dilution and measurement of the optical density of the incubation medium at 600 nm. Cultures were then concentrated by centrifugation (3 354g, 5 min) and washed with sterile distilled water. An appropriate volume of the culture was then added to a measured volume of the drinking water in the hopper to attain the desired final concentration of probiotic. Water was therefore provided either untreated (Control) or with a preparation of C. famata (CF; 10⁷–10⁹ cfu/ml water offered) or *L. plantarum* (LP; 10⁵–10⁸/cfu/ml water offered), or a combination of CF and LP (**CFLP**; total 10^6 – 10^8 cfu/ml water offered) in water hoppers 2 days each week (days 1, 4, 8, 11, 14, 18, 22, 25, 29 and 32). Water hoppers were replenished with fresh (untreated) water when required.

On day 14, all birds were weighed, and blocks of birds were allocated (six birds per pen, all birds originating from the same brooder ring) to one of 24 pens, with six replicate pens per probiotic treatment. All birds were then fed a common grower/finisher diet (Table 1) in the form of a mash manufactured by Target Feeds

Table 1

The formulation and chemical composition (as-fed basis) of the chickens' diets.

	Starter (0-14 days)	Grower/Finisher (15–36 days)
Formulation (g/kg)	(0 11 auy5)	(10 00 duys)
Barley	40	40
Wheat	500	550
Sova boan moal	220	265
Soya Deali Illeai	320	42
Kapeseeu meai	42	42
Soya Deall Oli	30	1
L-Iysille HCI	4 2.45	1
DL-methonne	3.45	2.42
L-threohne	2.05	2.02
Sodium Dicardonate	2.5	2.5
Salt	2	2.5
Limestone	11	8.56
Poultry vitamins/minerals	2	2
Dicalcium phosphate	20	16
Titanium dioxide	1	1
Chemical composition (g/kg)		
ME (MJ/kg)	9.7	9.9
CP	219.0	210.0
Total Starch	244.0	265.0
Oil	47.4	49.1
Sugar as Sucrose	46.8	43.3
Cystine	3.8	3.6
Aspartate	23.1	19.6
Methionine	8.9	6.8
Threonine	11.5	10.1
Serine	11.5	10.4
Glutamate	45.9	43.1
Glycine	9.6	8.7
Alanine	9.9	8.9
Valine	11.0	10.0
Iso-Leucine	9.9	8.9
Leucine	17.0	15.2
Tyrosine	48	43
Phenylalanine	11.2	10.0
Proline	16.3	15.5
Histidine	5.8	53
Lysine	16.1	11 3
Arginine	15.1	13.5
/ugmine	13.1	1.0.0

Abbreviation: ME = metabolisable energy.

¹The vitamin/mineral premix supplied per kg of starter diets: vitamin A 6 750 IU, vitamin D₃ 2 500 IU, vitamin E 50 mg, vitamin B₁ 1.5 mg, vitamin B₂ 5 mg, vitamin B₆ 1.5 mg, vitamin B₁₂ 0.015 mg, nicotinic acid 30 mg, pantothenic acid 7.5 mg, folic acid 0.75 mg, biotin 0.125 mg, choline chloride 125 mg, Fe 10 mg, Mn 50 mg, Cu 5 mg, Zn 40 mg, I 0.5 mg, Se 0.125 mg, Mo 0.25 mg.

The vitamin/mineral premix supplied per kg of grower/finisher diets: vitamin A 5 000 IU, vitamin D_3 2 500 IU, vitamin E 50 mg, vitamin B_1 1.5 mg, vitamin B_2 5 mg, vitamin B_6 1.5 mg, vitamin B_{12} 0.015 mg, nicotinic acid 30 mg, pantothenic acid 7.5 mg, folic acid 0.75 mg, biotin 0.125 mg, choline chloride 125 mg, Fe 10 mg, Mn 50 mg, Cu 5 mg, Zn 40 mg, I 0.5 mg, Se 0.125 mg, Mo 0.25 mg.

(Whitchurch, UK). Samples of each diet were analysed for starch, sugars, oil, CP and amino acids (Sciantec, York, UK). Titanium dioxide (1 mg/g) was incorporated in the diets as an indigestible marker, and feed samples (one per pen) were analysed for titanium dioxide (Short et al., 1996). Lighting was via incandescent lights with 23 h continuous light per 24 h period for the first 7 days, followed by 18 h continuous light (6 h darkness) in each 24 h period. The birds were brooded according to the breeder's recommendations using infrared lights to provide supplementary heat when necessary.

Bird performance, sample collection and determination of nutrient availability

Birds were weighed individually on days 1, 14 and 35. Mean BW increase was then calculated on a pen basis (g/d) during both the starter period and the grower/finisher period. Feed intake was determined and feed conversion ratio (**FCR**) calculated on a pen

basis. All birds were sacrificed by cervical dislocation on day 36, and one bird per pen was hung in a cold room (4 °C) for 24 h. It was weighed again, and the breast muscle was then removed (excluding skin and feathers). The breast muscle was weighed, and breast meat yield was then calculated (breast muscle weight/cold carcass weight).

On day 1, a total of 16 birds were randomly selected and sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The whole intestinal tract (from proximal duodenum to ileo-caecal junction) and the yolk sac were taken. These were analysed for the determination of the population size (cfu/g) of yeasts and bacteria (coliforms and *Lactobacilli*) and for the determination of AMR and virulence genes of coliforms. An isolate of *Lactobacilli* and yeast was randomly taken from the intestinal sample for the identification of *Lactobacilli* and yeast species.

On days 8 and 14, four birds from each brooder ring were sacrificed and contents from the duodenum, ileum and caecum were taken, weighed and cultured (in the same way as above) for the determination of yeast and bacterial population density. Coliforms were not counted in the small intestine samples as earlier (unpublished) observations had detected very low populations in these segments of the gut. One isolate of *E. coli* was taken from the cultured caecal sample of each bird for the determination of antibiotic resistance (all birds) and the presence of virulence genes (with the exception of CFLP birds, as no significant interaction between CF and LP had been observed). An isolate of *Lactobacilli* and yeast was randomly taken from the intestinal sample for the identification of *Lactobacilli* and yeast species.

After the birds were allocated to their grower/finisher pens, one bird from each pen was randomly selected and sacrificed on days 22, 29 and 35. Samples of digesta from the duodenum, ileum and caecum were again taken and the yeast and bacterial population densities were determined as before. An isolate of *E. coli* was taken from each caecal sample for the determination of antibiotic resistance and (with the exception of CFLP birds, for the same reason as before) the presence of virulence genes. An isolate of *Lactobacilli* and yeast was randomly taken from the cultured intestinal samples for the identification of *Lactobacilli* and yeast species. Samples of feed and a sample of ileal digesta taken from each bird on day 35 were also analysed for titanium dioxide (Short et al., 1996) by determining the absorbance of the acid digest at 410 nm using a spectrophotometer (CECIL CE 2040, 2000 series) for the determination of DM availability.

Counting of viable cells and preparation of bacterial and yeast isolates

The samples of whole intestine and yolk sac (from day-old chicks) and the samples of duodenal, ileal and caecal digesta (taken from the older birds) were weighed and serially diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 M) and 100 μ l of the suspension spread on MRS agar (for the enumeration of *Lactobacillus* spp.), MacConkey agar (for the enumeration of coliforms, in caecal digesta samples only) and Saboraud dextrose agar (**SDA**, for the enumeration of yeast). Plates were incubated (37 °C, 48 h) in an anaerobic incubator (Whitly MG1000 anaerobic workstation) for the *Lactobacillus* spp. cultures and aerobically incubated overnight at 37 °C for the coliform and 30 °C for yeast cultures. Colonies were then counted (Gallenkamp Colony Counter CNW 325 030Y, UK) to determine the population sizes (cfu/g).

The preservation of *Lactobacillus* sp. isolates was done by transferring the selected isolates to a sterile Eppendorf tube containing 1 ml of 150 g/l glycerol in MRS broth. These cultures were incubated anaerobically at 37 °C for 18–24 h. After that, the *Lactobacillus* sp. suspension was stored at -80 °C. A single colony of *E. coli* and yeast was selected at random from each MacConkey and SDA plate respectively and transferred to a separate, sterile Eppen-

dorf tube containing 500 μ l of nutrient broth (*E. coli*) or YEPD broth (yeast). These cultures were aerobically incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 h. 100 μ l of the resulting *E. coli* and yeast suspension was transferred to a cryobank tube (Mast group, Mastdisks, UK) and stored at –80 °C pending further analysis.

Characterisation of Lactobacilli and yeast isolates

Lactic acid bacteria (**LAB**) and yeast isolated from digesta samples were stored at -20 °C for the identification of strains. A total of 29 LAB strains were selected from the caecum for identification of the genus *Lactobacillus* and related genera by using the API 50 CHL test kit (bioMerieux UK Ltd, Basingstoke, UK). A total of 24 yeast isolates were randomly selected for identification of yeast strains by using the API system (API 20C AUX strips, bioMerieux UK Ltd, Basingstoke, UK). Identification of *Lactobacillus* and yeast strains was made using the apiwebTM identification software (https://apiweb.biomerieux.com) with database V5.1 (API, bioMerieux UK Ltd, Basingstoke, UK).

Antimicrobial resistance and extraction of genomic DNA of E. coli isolated from caecal samples

A sterile inoculation loop was inserted into the *E. coli* suspension of a cryobank tube and then streaked onto four MacConkey plates, each enriched with one of four antibiotics (ampicillin, nalidixic acid, tetracycline and chloramphenicol, each at a concentration of 20 μ g/ml). Plates were then incubated (37 °C, 16 h) and growth of colonies on each plate was then determined. When growth was observed on plates containing antibiotics, it was deemed that the colony that had inoculated the plate was resistant to that antibiotic.

The genomic DNA from a total of 87 isolates of E. coli (taken from birds that received the control, CF or LP treatment, but not the CFLP treatment) were extracted to determine the presence of E. coli virulence factors. Genomic DNA was extracted using Puregene yeast/bact Kit B (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) and standard protocols for fresh samples of Gram-negative bacterial cultures were followed, as described below and by Alkandari (2017). Isolated cultures of E. coli were streaked on nutrient agar plates and incubated at 37 °C overnight. Colonies of E. coli were then taken from these plates and transferred to a 1.5 ml sterile Eppendorf tube. These were then incubated at 80 °C for 5 min after adding 300 μ l of cell lysis solution on the pellet. The tubes were put on ice for 20 min. 100 µl of protein precipitation solution was added and vortexed vigorously for 20 s at high speed. The mixture was centrifuged at 12 045g for 3 min. The supernatant was transferred to a clean 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing about 700 µl of 99.5% ethanol and mixed by gently inverting 50 times. The mixture was centrifuged at 12 045g for 1 min, and the supernatant was carefully discarded. A total of 300 μl of 70% ethanol was added to the DNA pellet and inverted several times. The mixture was centrifuged at 12 045g for 1 min, and again the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was allowed to air dry for 5 min. 100 μl of DNA hydration solution was added and the mixture was vortexed for 5 s, incubated at 65 °C for 1 h, followed by incubation overnight at room temperature with gentle shaking. This procedure was described by Alkandari (2017).

The purification of DNA was determined with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND 2000, Nano Drop Technologies, USA). The quality of DNA was evaluated according to the method of Tonks (2018). The Nanodrop tube was cleaned by pipetting 1.5 μ l of distilled water onto it and wiping with Whatman filter paper. The Nanodrop was blanked with 1.5 μ l of distilled water. 1.5 μ l DNA solution was added to the measuring stage and the 260:280 nm ratio was also recorded (1.82 \pm 0.12). DNA stock solutions were stored

Table 2

The description of the virulence factors investigated and the primers used for their detection by PCR with E. coli isolated from broiler chickens.

Gene	Description	Primer sequence	Amplicon size (bp)	References
Adhesins				
papC	P-fimbriae, Pyelonephritis associated pili	TGATATCACGCAGTCAGTAG CCGGCCATATTCACATA	501	(Sanger et al., 1977; Janßen et al., 2001)
tsh	Temperature-sensitive haemagglutinin	ACTATTCTCTGCAGGAAGT CTTCCGATGTTCTGAACG	824	(Dozois et al., 1992; Ewers et al., 2007)
Iron acqui	isition			
iucD	Aerobactin synthesis, iron uptake chelate	ACAAAAAGTTCTATCGCTTC CCTGATCCAGATGATGCT	714	(Sanger et al., 1977; Janßen et al., 2001)
irp2	Iron-repressible protein associated with yersinabactin synthesis	AAGGATTCGCTGTTACCGGA AACTCCTGATACAGGTGG	413	(Dozois et al., 1992)
Serum su	rvival			
iss	Increase serum survival	ATCACATAGGATTCTGCC CAGCGGAGTATAGATGCC	309	(Ewers et al., 2007)
Toxins				
astA	Arginine succinyl transferase A (Enteroaggregative heat-stable toxin)	TGCCATCAACACAGTATATC TCAGGTCGCGAGTGACGG	116	(Franck et al., 1998)
hlyA	α-haemolysin	GTCCATTGCCGATAAGTTT AAGTAATTTTTGCCGTGTTTT	1 177	(Janßen et al., 2001; Ewers et al., 2004)

at -20 °C pending the analysis of virulence genes by PCR (Alkandari, 2017).

Virulence genotyping

The DNA extracts taken from the E. coli isolates were analysed for seven avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC) virulence factors using PCR assays. The method used was described by Alkandari (2017). The virulence genes investigated (papC, iucD, tsh, irp2, iss, astA, and *hlyA*) are described in Table 2, with their primer sequences. PCR was performed using GE Healthcare Illustra[™] PuReTaq Ready-To-Go[™] PCR Beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) containing 2.5 units of recombinant PuReTaq DNA Polymerase, dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP, stabilizers, bovine serum albumen and reaction buffer. PCR assays of papC and iucD were performed with 25 µl of PCR mixtures containing 5 µl of DNA extraction, each 1 µl (25 pmol) of forward and reverse primer pairs, and 18 µl of sterile doubledistilled water. The other virulence genes (tsh, irp2, iss, astA and hlyA) were determined using 25 µl of PCR mixtures containing 5 μ l of DNA, 1 μ l (25 pmol) each of forward and reverse primer pairs, MgCl₂ (1.25 µl, 10 mM) and 16.75 µl of sterile doubledistilled water.

The PCR amplification was carried out using a 96-well MJ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, UK) with the following protocol: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 58 °C for 30 s, extension at 68 °C for 3 min, and the final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. Analysis of the amplified products was performed by electrophoresis (75 V for 1 h) with a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide in 0.5 X TBE buffer. 100 bp and 1 Kbp DNA ladders were used. Gel images were captured using G-BOX Chemi-XR5, (Syngene, UK), connected to a computer. The analysis of specific band sizes for different virulence genes tested was scored as present (+) or absent (-).

Data analysis

The effect of the administration of CF, LP or the interaction between CF and LP on bird performance (feed intake, BW gain, FCR, breast meat weight and yield) and nutrient availability was determined by analysis of variance using the general linear model of Minitab (Minitab 17, Minitab Inc., PA, USA) with CF, LP and interaction between these main effects as the only terms in the model. The effect of bird age, digesta site, administration of CF, administration of LP and the interaction between all these main effects were used in the analysis of population density of yeasts, coliforms and *Lactobacillus* spp. (determined by ANOVA, general linear model, Minitab as before). Significant differences were determined at the level of P < 0.05.

At each sampling time, the percentage of samples (from any one treatment) that were phenotypically resistant to each antibiotic was determined. Chi square analyses were then used to determine the association between either the presence of phenotypic AMR or the presence of different virulence genes in *E. coli* and either the

Table 3

Effect of the addition of Candida famata and/or Lactobe	cillus plantarum in the drinking water of broiler of	chickens on their performance and overall D	M availability
---	--	---	----------------

	Control	CF	LP	CFLP	SEM	P-value		
						CF	LP	CF*LP
Weight gain (days 1–14, g/bird)	381	362	368	366	7.9	0.169	0.577	0.268
Weight gain (days 14–35, g/bird/d)	82	80	78	79	1.8	0.777	0.223	0.591
Feed intake (days 14–35, g/bird/d)	126	122	123	120	2.8	0.201	0.453	0.967
FCR (days 14-35)	1.54	1.52	1.57	1.52	0.02	0.070	0.370	0.322
Breast weight (g)	418	397	492	394	32.1	0.078	0.283	0.251
Breast meat yield (%)	19.4	19.5	20.5	18.4	0.82	0.238	0.993	0.196
DM availability (g/kg)	648	644	616	653	0.2	0.309	0.474	0.198

CF = birds were treated with 10^8 cfu/ml *Candida famata* (CF) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; LP = birds were treated with 10^5-10^8 cfu/ml *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LP) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10^6-10^8 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = the interaction between CF and LP. FCR = feed conversion ratio.

Table 4

υī

Effect of treatment, digesta site and bird age on the mean population of E. coli, Lactobacillus spp., and yeast in samples of digesta taken from broiler chickens.

	Treatn	nent ¹																			
	Contro	ol		CF			LP			CFLP			SEM	P-va	lue ²						
Item	DUO	ILE	CAE	DUO	ILE	CAE	DUO	ILE	CAE	DUO	ILE	CAE		A	S	CF	LP	CF*LP	A*S	A*CF	A*LP
<i>E. coli</i> (log ₁₀ cfu/g) Bird age (d)																					
8			9.14			8.91			8.26			8.53	0.32								
14			8.19			7.60			8.53			8.53	0.32								
22			8.60			8.93			8.92			9.34	0.26								
29			8.46			8.68			8.69			8.75	0.26								
35			8.07			7.89			7.95			7.95	0.26	***		0.826	0.437	0.344		0.533	0.064
Lactobacillus spp (log ₁₀ cfu/g)																					
Bird age (d)																					
8	7.77	9.42	9.69	7.73	9.18	10.1	8.16	8.98	8.25	7.99	9.09	9.22	0.37								
14	7.39	10.5	9.36	8.41	8.52	9.49	8.25	10.8	9.90	7.21	10.5	9.63	0.37								
22	6.97	8.58	9.04	7.32	8.54	9.33	6.97	8.88	9.48	7.24	8.72	9.69	0.30								
29	6.77	7.61	9.90	6.66	7.85	9.94	6.78	7.06	9.83	6.61	7.54	9.95	0.30								
35	6.87	8.01	9.17	6.67	7.89	9.03	6.50	7.69	8.97	6.89	7.63	8.34	0.30	***	***	0.620	0.852	0.875	***	0.245	0.045
Yeast (log ₁₀ cfu/g)																					
Bird age (d)																					
8	3.00	3.17		5.14	4.05		2.48	2.10		4.72	3.95		0.40								
14	3.43	4.52		3.42	470		3.45	4.95		3.89	5.10		0.40								
22	3.59	4.09		4.12	4.97		3.45	4.93		4.46	5.42		0.32								
29	3.53	3.13		5.48	4.09		3.29	2.35		4.91	3.90		0.32								
35	3.86	3.41		3.06	2.93		2.06	2.24		4.05	3.83		0.36	***	0.435	***	0.502	0.013	***	***	0.046

Abbreviations: Site of digesta collection in DUO = duodenum, ILE = ileum, CAE = caecum. Replication: n = 4 when birds 8 and 14 days old, n = 6 when birds 22, 29 and 35 days old.

¹ Treatment: CF = birds were treated with 10⁸ cfu/ml *Candida famata* (CF) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; LP = birds were treated with 10⁵-10⁸ cfu/ml *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LP) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (10⁶-10⁸ cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life.

² Abbreviations: A = bird age, S = site of digesta sampling, CF = effect of CF treatment, LP = effect of LP treatment; * = denotes the interaction between main effects. There was no significant interaction (*P* > 0.05) between site and either CF or LP. Three way and four way interactions were all not statistically significant (*P* > 0.05). *** denotes *P* < 0.001.

birds' age or its treatment (Control, CF, LP, CFLP). Associations between the carriage of virulence genes and the phenotypic expression of AMR were also determined by Chi square analysis.

Results

Bird performance and characterisation of E. coli, Lactobacillus and yeasts in the gut

The administration of probiotics did not affect bird performance or DM availability (Table 3). Even as day-old chicks, there was a large population in the gut of both coliforms ($\log_{10} 5.65 \pm 0.35$ cf u/g) and lactic acid bacteria ($\log_{10} 6.87 \pm 0.13$ cfu/g), which was larger than the populations observed in the yolk sac (\log_{10} cfu/g 4.27 ± 0.13 and 4.49 ± 0.08 for coliforms and lactic acid bacteria, respectively). No yeast was detected in the yolk sac but some chicks (6 out of 16) had a small population of yeasts in the gut ($\log_{10} 3.18 \pm 0.42$ cfu/g).

The administration of *C. famata* and *L. plantarum*, either alone or in combination, had no effect on the population of coliforms or *Lactobacilli*. Administering *L. plantarum* did not affect the population of yeasts either, but the administration of *C. famata* did increase the population density of yeasts (from 3.4 to 4.2 log₁₀cfu/g, P < 0.001). As birds aged, there was a general decline in the population density of coliforms (P < 0.001, Table 4). There was also a significant (P < 0.001) interaction between the age of the bird and the site of the gut where digesta were collected with respect to the population density of *Lactobacilli*. The population density increased from the duodenum, through the ileum and was greatest in the caecum, and this difference between the different sections of the intestine was more apparent as the birds got older (Table 4).

There were some interesting interactions between bird age, site (duodenum, ileum and caecum) and the administration of CF or LP on the population size of yeasts. On days 14 and 22, the population density of yeasts was greater in the ileum and caecum than in the duodenum. However, by day 29, the yeast population was greater in the duodenum than in the ileum and caecum. By day 35, the population density of yeasts was lower in all three sites compared with the density observed in those same sites when the birds were younger (P < 0.001, Table 4). Administering *C. famata* increased the population of yeasts when the birds were younger (days 8, 22 and 29) but by day 35, the population density of yeasts was lower and was not affected by the administration of *C. famata* (P < 0.001, Table 4). There was also an interaction between the administration

of *C. famata* and digesta site on the yeast population (P < 0.05). Administering *C. famata* increased the population of yeast in the duodenum and ileum but not in the caecum (Table 4). In addition, there was an interaction between the administration of CF and LP (P < 0.01, Table 4). Administering *C. famata* increased the population of yeast in the gut, and yeast counts increased even further, when both *C. famata* and *L. plantarum* were administered. Administering *L. plantarum* alone, however, had no effect on the yeast population.

The identification of the *Lactobacilli* and yeast isolates is presented in Tables 5 and 6. A variety of *Lactobacillus* species were identified, with only two isolates (one of which was from a control bird) being identified as *L. plantarum*. The other positive *L. plantarum* identification was from a bird treated with LP. With respect to yeasts, a number of different *Candida* species were identified including *C. rugosa*, *C. zeylanoides*, *C. tropicalis* and *C. glabrata*. *Geotrichum candidum*, *Saccharomyces cerevisaea* and *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* were also identified.

Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genotyping of E. coli from the caecum

Fig. 1 shows the proportion of caecal *E. coli* samples that were resistant to antimicrobials throughout the experimental period. Resistance to nalidixic acid and chloramphenicol was low throughout the study. Tetracycline resistance was high (79%) at 8 days and then declined to 21% at 35 days (P < 0.001) with no effect of treatment. All of the samples taken from the gut of day-old birds were resistant to ampicillin and this persisted to day 8. However, it then decreased to 38% at 35 days (P < 0.001). There was no effect of treatment on the resistance of *E. coli* to antibiotics.

The percentage of *E. coli* isolates (n = 84) carrying different virulence-associated genes is also presented in Fig. 1. The *papC* gene was not detected in any of the samples. There was a significant association (*P* < 0.01) between bird age and the carriage of virulence genes by *E. coli*. Isolates of *E. coli* taken from the youngest birds (days 1 and 8 of age) had a much higher incidence of *iucD*, *irp2*, *iss* and haemolysin *hly*A than in isolates taken from older birds. There was no significant association between treatment and the carriage of different virulence genes. There was a significant association between phenotypic resistance of *E. coli* to tetracycline and the carriage of the genes *iucD* (χ^2 = 12.27, *P* < 0.001), *irp2* (χ^2 = 9.573, *P* < 0.01), *iss* (χ^2 = 5.25, *P* < 0.05) and *tsh* (χ^2 = 6.34, *P* < 0.05) (Fig. 2).

Table 5

identifi	cation (by	API systen	n, bioMerieux	UK Ltd,	Basingstoke,	UK) of the <i>Lc</i>	ıctobacillus	isolates ta	aken	from	samples of	caecal	digesta	of the	e broiler	chicker	1S.
----------	----------	----	------------	---------------	---------	--------------	----	--------------------	--------------	-------------	------	------	------------	--------	---------	--------	-----------	---------	-----

Bird age, d	Treat	Identification	% C ¹	Bird age, d	Treat	Identification	% C
1	N/A	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	95.8	22	LP	Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei 1	99.9
1	N/A	Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei 1	92.8	22	LP	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 2	61.1
1	N/A	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	95.8	29	CON	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	48.6
1	N/A	Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei 1	88.1	29	CON	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.1
1	N/A	Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei 1	99.6	29	CF	Leuconostoc mesenteroides ssp. mesenteroides/dextranicum 1	69.2
8	CON	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.3	29	CF	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	90.0
8	CF	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.3	29	LP	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	93.2
8	LP	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.3	29	LP	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.3
14	CON	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.3	35	CON	No result	-
14	CF	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 2	69.8	35	CON	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	72.7
14	LP	Lactobacillus plantarum 1	97.3	35	CF	Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei 3	79.9
22	CON	No result	-	35	CF	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	51.1
22	CON	Lactobacillus plantarum 1	76.9	35	LP	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	92.2
22	CF	Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei 1	99.9	35	LP	Lactobacillus brevis 1	93.0
22	CF	Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis 1	64.3				

CON = control; N/A = not applicable; CF = birds were treated with 10⁸ cfu/ml *Candida famata* (CF) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; LP = birds were treated with 10⁵-10⁸ cfu/ml *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LP) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; Treat = treatment. ¹%C: % confidence in identification.

Table 6

Identification (by API system, bioMerieux UK Ltd, Basingstoke, UK) of the yeast isolates taken from samples of deudonal, ileal and caecal digesta of the broiler chickens.

Bird age (d)	Treatment	Gut section	Identification
14	CON	Duodenum	Candida rugosa
14	CON	Ileum	Candida rugosa
14	CON	Ileum	Geotrichum candidum
14	CF	Duodenum	Candida zeylanoides
14	CF	Duodenum	Candida tropicalis
14	CF	Ileum	Candida rugosa
14	LP	Ileum	Candida rugosa
14	LP	Caecum	Candida rugosa
14	CFLP	Ileum	Candida rugosa
14	CFLP	Caecum	Candida rugosa
22	CF	Ileum	Candida rugosa
22	CF	Ileum	Candida rugosa
22	LP	Ileum	Candida rugosa
22	CFLP	Ileum	Candida rugosa
29	CF	Ileum	Candida glabrata
29	CF	Caecum	Candida glabrata
29	LP	Caecum	Rhodotorula glutinis
29	CFLP	Duodenum	Candida rugosa
35	CON	Duodenum	Saccharomyces cerevisaea
35	CF	Ileum	Candida glabrata
35	LP	Caecum	Rhodotorula mucilaginosa 2
35	CFLP	Duodenum	Candida spherica
35	CFLP	Ileum	Saccharomyces cerevisaea 1
35	CFLP	Caecum	Candida pelliculosa

CON = control; CF = birds were treated with 108 cfu/ml *Candida famata* (CF) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; LP = birds were treated with 105–108 cfu/ml *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LP) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life; CFLP = birds were treated with a mixture of CF and LP (106–108 cfu/ml) in their drinking water 2 days a week throughout their life.

Discussion

If probiotics are to have any effect at all on the health and performance of the host animal, then they must colonise and proliferate within the gut (Angelakis et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2016). The absence of any effect of *L. plantarum* administration on the population density of *Lactobacilli* in any segment of the gut, and the further observation that only one of the samples of *Lactobacilli* isolated from the chickens showed any evidence of them being related to the administered source would suggest that colonisation by L. plantarum had been unsuccessful. The only caveat to that analysis would be to note that the samples that were taken were of digesta (squeezed from the lumen of the intestinal section). Bacteria colonise the gut by adhering to the mucosal wall, and it is possible that the population adhering to the gut wall was different from the luminal population. However, Herias et al. (1999) observed no such distinction which would suggest that the colonisation of the gut by administered *L. plantarum* was indeed limited. This may explain the lack of effect of LP on bird performance and the populations of either *E. coli* or LAB. In contrast, Peng et al. (2016) administered a similar dose of *L. plantarum* to broilers but did so every day and in the feed (rather than water). They reported improvements in FCR and a reduction in *E. coli* when broilers were aged 42 days. However, no effect on coliform populations was observed when the birds were at a more vulnerable age (day 21 of age).

There was an increased yeast population in response to the administration of *C. famata*, and it was notable that this occurred mostly in the small intestine. *C. famata* administration may therefore have stimulated the proliferation of yeasts, but it was other yeast populations such as *C. rugosa*, *C. zeylanoides*, *C. tropicalis*, *Geotrichum candidum*, *C. glabrata*, *Saccharomyces cerevisaea* and *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* that also appeared to be stimulated. However, as it was the small intestine in which the yeasts proliferated it is perhaps unsurprising that there was no associated effect on the caecal population of *E. coli*. Future research might investigate what effect, if any, the administration of *C. famata* had on the duodenal coliform population.

The impact of *C. famata* on bird performance was also limited, with only a tendency (P = 0.07) for FCR to be improved. The optimal dose and route of administration therefore need further consideration to enable these species to colonise the gut and effect any changes in the microbiome. Other studies (with admittedly different probiotics) have demonstrated that administering probiotics in water improved performance in broiler chickens. Eckert et al. (2010) showed that intermittent *Lactobacillus* based probiotic treatment via drinking water significantly improved FCR and



Fig. 1. The percentage of *E. coli* isolates taken from broiler chickens of different ages (days of life) that were phenotypically resistant to different antimicrobials or carried different virulence genes. AMP = ampicillin; TET = tetracycline; NA = nalidixic acid; CHL = chloramphenicol; For identification and description of genes, see Table 2.



TET Resistant TET Sensitive

Fig. 2. The association between the carriage of the genes coding for iron uptake chelate, iron-repressible protein, increased serum survival and temperature-sensitive haemagglutinin and the phenotypic sensitivity or resistance by isolates of *E. coli* to tetracycline (20 µg/ml) in broiler chickens. TET = tetracycline; For identification and description of genes, see Table 2.

increased BW compared with administration in feed or continuously in drinking water. A possible explanation for the beneficial effect of intermittent administration may be a consequence of the immunostimulatory properties of probiotics. Administering probiotics continuously would result in a sustained high state of immune stimulation and the energy for activation of the immune system might partition nutrients away from bird growth. Karimi Torshizi et al. (2010) also observed that the administration of nine different probiotics (Aspergillus oryzae, Lactobacillus acidophilus, L. rhamnosus, L. plantarum, L. bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium bifidum, Enterococcus faecium, Streptococcus thermophilus and Candida pintolopesii) in water resulted in improved performance (in terms of BW gain, feed intake and FCR) compared with no probiotics or administering them in the feed. Administering probiotics in the water intermittently may therefore be the optimum means of delivery, but in this study, the dose (certainly of the L. plantarum) was insufficient to produce an observable effect on bird performance.

There was a high degree of resistance of *E. coli* to tetracycline at 8 days and to ampicillin at both 1 and 8 days even though the birds were not exposed to antibiotics at any time in their life. Ampicillin and tetracycline resistance then decreased as the birds aged, and these results reflect those of Roth et al. (2017) who also observed E. coli in faecal contents of day-old chicks was highly resistant to ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline but that the prevalence of resistant E. coli by day 17 and 38 decreased (although only to ciprofloxacin, streptomycin and sulfamethoxazole). A high prevalence of AMR in day-old chicks was also observed by da Costa et al. (2011), who reported resistance to ampicillin, cefotaxime, tetracycline, streptomycin, gentamicin and enrofloxacin by E. coli isolates from cloacal samples from day-old chicks. E. coli (both commensal and pathogenic, potentially carrying antibiotic resistance genes) may transfer from breeding birds to chicks via the eggshell or egg yolk during oviposition. However, in the absence of any exposure to antibiotic, it is unclear why the prevalence of resistance then increased still further in the first week of life (although it did then decrease after that). Clearly there must be some other selective advantage for E. coli carrying antibiotic resistance genes.

In other research, AMR of E. coli isolated from caecal and faecal samples has been observed to continue to increase throughout the bird's life. The prevalence of coliform resistance to penicillins was high and reported to be increasing in intensively farmed broilers (Majewski et al., 2020). Bezerra et al. (2016) observed that all E. coli isolated from cloacal samples were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and 95.4% to tetracycline, 91.4% to ciprofloxacin and 87.3% to ampicillin with birds of preslaughter age (35-8 days). E. coli isolates from excreta samples of birds had a high frequency of resistance to tetracycline, kanamycin and nalidixic acid throughout the birds' life in a study reported by Miles et al. (2006). The high prevalence (frequency or density) of resistant E. coli arose from genomic evolution as a result of successful competition with susceptible strains (Kolář et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2007), although if no antibiotics are administered, the basis for this successful competition is unclear. Another possible explanation for this is that resistance genes are associated with mobile genetic elements, such as plasmids. These may confer resistance to antibiotic sensitive bacteria by conjugation, transformation and transduction, but also confer other selective advantages. In this study, AMR declined after 8 days, suggesting that while chicks may have been infected with highly resistant E. coli (often APEC types), over the lifespan of the birds, these types were replaced by more robust non-antibiotic-resistant commensal types.

Roth et al. (2017) added an organic acid additive to the birds' feed and observed that this was associated with a reduced prevalence of ampicillin- and tetracycline-resistant *E. coli*. This finding is consistent with this study, as the administration of *C. famata* (which in addition to ethanol was also, *in vitro*, observed to produce ethyl acetate, data not shown) tended to reduce the ampicillin resistance of *E. coli*. Organic acids produced by probiotics or added directly to the diet may inhibit the growth of coliforms, particularly those carrying antibiotic-resistant genes (Edens, 2003; Alagawany et al., 2018). Further research is clearly needed to investigate the appropriate selection of probiotics to reduce the prevalence of resistant *E. coli* in broiler chickens.

The seven virulence genes used in this study are generally analysed to differentiate between commensal and pathogenic *E. coli* in poultry. The *iss* gene was the most prevalent of the genes investigated, but *iucD*, *irp2*, *iss* and *hlyA* were also very prevalent in birds that were 8 days old before declining as the birds got older. In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the detection of virulence genes in commensal and pathogenic *E. coli* isolates in poultry (Zhao et al., 2009; Karami et al., 2017). Janßen et al. (2001) reported that a high frequency of the genes *fimC*, *iucD* and *tsh* was observed in APEC isolates.

According to Paixao et al. (2016), APEC isolates had a higher prevalence of the iron uptake-related genes and the serum survival genes compared with commensal strains of E. coli. This is because iron is an essential nutrient for E. coli and is of more limited availability in extraintestinal tissues compared with digesta. There is therefore a selective advantage for APEC to carry genes such as iucD and irp2. What was interesting in this study was the association between *iucD*, *irp2*, *iss* and *tsh* with tetracycline resistance. As observed before, it is unclear what selective advantage there is to the carriage of genes coding for tetracycline (or any other antibiotic) resistance when no antibiotics have been administered. However, it is possible that these virulence genes are carried on the same plasmid (or other mobile genetic element) as the genes coding for tetracycline resistance and the advantage (to APEC) is in the greater ability to scavenge and accumulate iron, with the resistance to tetracycline being a side benefit.

Associations between virulence and AMR have been observed before; Johnson et al. (2012) observed that APEC had a higher proportion of plasmid mediated multidrug resistance than their avian commensal counterparts. They also observed that certain virulence genes, including iss, were positively associated with multidrug resistance. Szmolka et al. (2012) also reported that tetA (coding for tetracycline resistance) was highly correlated with the virulence genes iroN and iss in both commensal and avian pathogenic E. coli. These findings suggest that there is a positive relationship between mechanisms for iron accumulation by E. coli and the resistance by E. coli to a range of antimicrobials including tetracycline. This may provide a means of controlling the maintenance and spread of E. coli resistant to antimicrobials. The avoidance of antibiotics may not be sufficient to control this spread, since the prevalence of E. coli resistant to antibiotics is so widespread even when no antibiotics are administered. Altering the iron availability (in the gut) for E. coli, conceivably by altering the dietary iron content or removing any chelating agents, may serve as a means of altering the selective advantage that APEC currently has, particularly in the starter period of the bird's life. This might then encourage the proliferation of commensal E. coli (carrying fewer genes encoding either antibiotic resistance or virulence).

This study has confirmed that the strains of *E. coli* which are prevalent in the young broiler chicken (up to 8 days of age) are resistant to ampicillin and tetracycline and they also carry a number of virulence-associated genes. This poses a considerable threat to the bird when it is at a vulnerable stage of development. What was positive, however, was the evolution of the *E. coli* population as the birds aged. By the finishing stage, the dominant strains of *E. coli* were commensal and sensitive to antibiotics. The carriage of *iucD*, *irp2*, *iss* and *tsh* genes was strongly associated with tetracycline-resistant *E. coli* (without the resistance to antimicrobials) would make the young growing chick less susceptible to antibiotic-resistant disease. One such intervention may be through the manipulation of iron availability in the chicken gut.

Ethics approval

Animals in this study were handled according to the recommendations of the Code of Recommendations for the

Welfare of Livestock: Meat chickens and breeding chickens (https:// www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_ data/file/69372/pb7275meat-chickens-020717.pdf) and the Ross Broiler Management Handbook (http://en.aviagen.com/assets/ Tech_Center/Ross_Broiler/Ross-Broiler-Handbook-2014i-EN.pdf). No further ethical approval was required as no pain, suffering, distress or lasting harm was imposed.

Data and model availability statement

None of the data were deposited in an official repository. The data that support the study findings are available upon request to the authors.

Author ORCIDs

- A. Lee: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7163-0059.
- M. J. Woodward: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3125-3986.
- **D. T. Juniper:** https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7709-2949.
- **C. Rymer:** https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3535-4330.

Author contributions

A. Lee: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization. **M. Aldeieg**: Investigation. **M. J. Woodward**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision. **D. T. Juniper**: Resources, Methodology, Supervision. **C. Rymer**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision.

Declaration of interest

None.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the technical support of the staff at CEDAR for the care of the birds during the experiment.

Financial support statement

There was no external financial support for this study.

References

- Alagawany, M., Abd El-Hack, M.E., Farag, M.R., Sachan, S., Karthik, K., Dhama, K., 2018. The use of probiotics as eco-friendly alternatives for antibiotics in poultry nutrition. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 25, 10611–10618.
- Alkandari, F.A.H., 2017. Characterisation of *Escherichia coli* in poultry and their interaction with phytochemicals PhD thesis. University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom.
- Angelakis, E., Merhej, V., Raoult, D., 2013. Related actions of probiotics and antibiotics on gut microbiota and weight modification. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 13, 889–899.
- Bezerra, W.G.A., da Silva, I.N.G., Vasconcelos, R.H., Machado, D.N., de Souza Lopes, E., Lima, S.V.G., de Castro Teixeira, R.S., Lima, J.B., Oliveira, F.R., Maciel, W.C., 2016. Isolation and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* (O: 6, 8) in Broiler Chickens. Acta Scientiae Veterinariae 44, 1–7.
- Castanon, J.I.R., 2007. History of the use of antibiotic as growth promoters in european poultry feeds. Poultry Science 86, 2466–2471.
- da Costa, P.M., Oliveira, M., Ramos, B., Bernardo, F., 2011. The impact of antimicrobial use in broiler chickens on growth performance and on the occurrence of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli*. Livestock Science 136, 262–269.
- Delicato, E.R., de Brito, B.G., Gaziri, L.C.J., Vidotto, M.C., 2003. Virulence-associated genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from poultry with colibacillosis. Veterinary Microbiology 94, 97–103.
- Diarra, M.S., Silversides, F.G., Diarrassouba, F., Pritchard, J., Masson, L., Brousseau, R., Bonnet, C., Delaquis, P., Bach, S., Skura, B.J., Topp, E., 2007. Impact of feed

A. Lee, M. Aldeieg, M.J. Woodward et al.

supplementation with antimicrobial agents on growth performance of broiler chickens, *Clostridium perfringens* and *Enterococcus* counts, and antibiotic resistance phenotypes and distribution of antimicrobial resistance determinants in *Escherichia coli* isolates. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73, 6566–6576.

- Dolejska, M., Villa, L., Hasman, H., Hansen, L., Carattoli, A., 2012. Characterization of IncN plasmids carrying bla CTX-M-1 and qnr genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* from animals, the environment and humans. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 68, 333–339.
- Dozois, C.M., Fairbrother, J.M., Harel, J., Bossé, M., 1992. pap-and pil-related DNA sequences and other virulence determinants associated with *Escherichia coli* isolated from septicemic chickens and turkeys. Infection and Immunity 60, 2648–2656.
- Dziva, F., Stevens, M.P., 2008. Colibacillosis in poultry: unravelling the molecular basis of virulence of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in their natural hosts. Avian Pathology 37, 355–366.
- Eckert, N., Lee, J., Hyatt, D., Stevens, S., Anderson, S., Anderson, P., Beltran, R., Schatzmayr, G., Mohnl, M., Caldwell, D., 2010. Influence of probiotic administration by feed or water on growth parameters of broilers reared on medicated and nonmedicated diets. Journal of Applied Poultry Research 19, 59–67.
- Edens, F., 2003. An alternative for antibiotic use in poultry: probiotics. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science 5, 75–97.
- Ewers, C., Janßen, T., Kießling, S., Philipp, H.-C., Wieler, L.H., 2004. Molecular epidemiology of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) isolated from colisepticemia in poultry. Veterinary Microbiology 104, 91–101.
- Ewers, C., Li, G., Wilking, H., Kieβling, S., Alt, K., Antáo, E.-M., Laturnus, C., Diehl, I., Glodde, S., Homeier, T., 2007. Avian pathogenic, uropathogenic, and newborn meningitis-causing *Escherichia coli*: how closely related are they?. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 297, 163–176.
- Fijan, S., 2014. Microorganisms with claimed probiotic properties: an overview of recent literature. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 11, 4745–4767.
- Franck, S.M., Bosworth, B.T., Moon, H.W., 1998. Multiplex PCR for enterotoxigenic, attaching and effacing, and Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains from calves. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 36, 1795–1797.
- Herias, M., Hessle, C., Telemo, E., Midtvedt, T., Hanson, L.Å., Wold, A., 1999. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus plantarum* colonizing the intestine of gnotobiotic rats. Clinical and Experimental Immunology 116, 283.
- Jang, J., Hur, H.G., Sadowsky, M.J., Byappanahalli, M., Yan, T., Ishii, S., 2017. Environmental *Escherichia coli*: ecology and public health implications—a review. Journal of Applied Microbiology 123, 570–581.
- Janßen, T., Schwarz, C., Preikschat, P., Voss, M., Philipp, H.-C., Wieler, L.H., 2001. Virulence-associated genes in avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) isolated from internal organs of poultry having died from colibacillosis. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 291, 371–378.
- Johnson, T.J., Logue, C.M., Johnson, J.R., Kuskowski, M.A., Sherwood, J.S., Barnes, H.J., DebRoy, C., Wannemuehler, Y.M., Obata-Yasuoka, M., Spanjaard, L., 2012. Associations between multidrug resistance, plasmid content, and virulence potential among extraintestinal pathogenic and commensal *Escherichia coli* from humans and poultry. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease 9, 37–46.
- Kabir, S.M.L., 2009. The role of probiotics in the poultry industry. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 10, 3531–3546.
- Karami, N., Wold, A., Adlerberth, I., 2017. Antibiotic resistance is linked to carriage of papC and iutA virulence genes and phylogenetic group D background in commensal and uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* from infants and young children. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 36, 721–729.
- Karimi Torshizi, M., Moghaddam, A., Rahimi, S., Mojgani, N., 2010. Assessing the effect of administering probiotics in water or as a feed supplement on broiler performance and immune response. British Poultry Science 51, 178–184.

- Kolář, M., Urbánek, K., Látal, T., 2001. Antibiotic selective pressure and development of bacterial resistance. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 17, 357– 363.
- Landers, T.F., Cohen, B., Wittum, T.E., Larson, E.L., 2012. A review of antibiotic use in food animals: perspective, policy, and potential. Public Health Reports 127, 4–22.
- Lee, A., Aldeieg, M., Juniper, D., Woodward, M., Lott, M., Kingsley, R., Rymer, C., 2020. Genotypic characteristics of antimicrobial resistant Escherichia coli isolated from the caecum of broiler chickens. In Proceedings of the 76th British Society of Animal Science, 30 March-1 April 2020, Nottingham, United Kingdom, p. 127.
- Majewski, M., Józefiak, A., Kimsa-Furdzik, M., Dziubdziela, L., Hudak-Nowak, M., Wilczyński, J., Anusz, K., 2020. Antimicrobial resistance of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella spp. conventionally sampled from factory-farmed chickens-clinical submissions. Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine. Retrieved on 07 May 2020 from https://doi.org/10.26444/aaem/120927.
- Miles, T.D., McLaughlin, W., Brown, P.D., 2006. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolates from broiler chickens and humans. BMC Veterinary Research 2, 7.
- Olnood, C.G., Beski, S.S., Choct, M., Iji, P.A., 2015. Novel probiotics: Their effects on growth performance, gut development, microbial community and activity of broiler chickens. Animal Nutrition 1, 184–191.
- Paixao, A., Ferreira, A., Fontes, M., Themudo, P., Albuquerque, T., Soares, M., Fevereiro, M., Martins, L., Corrêa de Sá, M., 2016. Detection of virulenceassociated genes in pathogenic and commensal avian *Escherichia coli* isolates. Poultry Science 95, 1646–1652.
- Peng, Q., Zeng, X., Zhu, J., Wang, S., Liu, X., Hou, C., Thacker, P., Qiao, S., 2016. Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* B1 on growth performance, intestinal microbiota, and short chain fatty acid profiles in broiler chickens. Poultry Science 95, 893–900.
- Persoons, D., Dewulf, J., Smet, A., Herman, L., Heyndrickx, M., Martel, A., Catry, B., Butaye, P., Haesebrouck, F., 2010. Prevalence and persistence of antimicrobial resistance in broiler indicator bacteria. Microbial Drug Resistance 16, 67–74.
- Roth, N., Mayrhofer, S., Gierus, M., Weingut, C., Schwarz, C., Doupovec, B., Berrios, R., Domig, K.J., 2017. Effect of an organic acids based feed additive and enrofloxacin on the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* in cecum of broilers. Poultry Science 96, 4053–4060.
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., Coulson, A.R., 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 74, 5463–5467.
- Short, F., Gorton, P., Wiseman, J., Boorman, K., 1996. Determination of titanium dioxide added as an inert marker in chicken digestibility studies. Animal Feed Science and Technology 59, 215–221.
- Smith, J., Drum, D., Dai, Y., Kim, J., Sanchez, S., Maurer, J., Hofacre, C., Lee, M., 2007. Impact of antimicrobial usage on antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* strains colonizing broiler chickens. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73, 1404–1414.
- Stromberg, Z.R., Johnson, J.R., Fairbrother, J.M., Kilbourne, J., Van Goor, A., Curtiss 3rd, R., Mellata, M., 2017. Evaluation of *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy chickens to determine their potential risk to poultry and human health. PloS One 12, e0180599.
- Szmolka, A., Anjum, M.F., La Ragione, R.M., Kaszanyitzky, É.J., Nagy, B., 2012. Microarray based comparative genotyping of gentamicin resistant *Escherichia coli* strains from food animals and humans. Veterinary Microbiology 156, 110– 118.
- Tonks, A.A., 2018. Exploring the effects of management strategies on the gut microbiome and metabolome of growing broiler chickens: an integrated metagenomic and metabolomic approach PhD thesis. University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom.
- Zhao, L., Gao, S., Huan, H., Xu, X., Zhu, X., Yang, W., Gao, Q., Liu, X., 2009. Comparison of virulence factors and expression of specific genes between uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and avian pathogenic *E. coli* in a murine urinary tract infection model and a chicken challenge model. Microbiology 155, 1634–1644.